IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Tron Anderson, #291701,)	Civil Action No.: 8:08-2260-SB-BHH
Peti	itioner,	
VS.)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Warden McKither Bodison,)	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Respo	ondent.)	

The petitioner brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2254. On October 22, 2008, the respondent filed a motion for summary judgment. On October 23, 2008, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the petitioner was advised of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately.

On November 26, 2008, petitioner filed a motion for extension of time to respond to the motion for summary judgment, and in an Order issued on December 1, 2008, the petitioner was given additional time. Despite this extension and the explanation in the *Roseboro* Order, the petitioner did not responded to the motion. As the petitioner is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed another order on January 7, 2009, giving the petitioner through January 30, 2009, to file his response to the motion for summary judgment. The petitioner was specifically advised that if failed to respond, this action would be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The petitioner not respond.

Based on the foregoing, it appears the petitioner no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed with prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with this Court's orders, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the factors outlined in *Chandler Leasing Corp.*

v. Lopez, 669 F.2d 919, 920 (4th Cir.1982). See Ballard v. Carlson, 882 F.2d 93 (4th C	ir.
1989).	
s/Bruce H. Hendricks United States Magistrate Judge	
February 4, 2009	
Greenville, South Carolina Carolina	